

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/552,507 | 12/19/2006 | Akihisa Inoue | 053128 | 4427 | |
| 38834 7590 02/22/2010 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | ZHENG, LOIS L | | |
| | SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 1793 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/22/2010 | EI ECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/552 507 INOUE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit LOIS ZHENG 1793 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 November 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/552,507 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 1 and 3 are amended in view of applicant's response filed 9 November
Therefore, claims 1-4 are currently under examination.

Status of Previous Rejections

 The rejection of claims 1-2 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baiker et al. US 4,916,109(Baiker) is withdrawn in view of applicant's claim amendment filed 9 November 2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baiker et al. US 4,916,109(Baiker), further in view of "Crystallization of amorphous Zr-Ni alloys in the presence of H₂, CO, O₂, N₂ and argon gases", Aoki et al., Journal of Materials Science, Vol. 21 pages 793-798, 1986(Aoki).

Baiker teaches a process to form an amorphous palladium zirconium oxide material, such as Pd₃₃(ZrO₂)₆₇, used a catalyst for oxidation of CO (abstract, col. 1 lines 55-57), comprising forming an amorphous PdZr alloy by melting and rapid cooling at a cooling rage of 10⁶-10⁸°C/s(col. 1 lines 58-64), followed by heating the PdZr alloy in an oxygen-containing gas stream at a temperature between 150-350°C to activate the

Art Unit: 1793

PdZr alloy, which results in palladium particles in ZrO₂ matrix(col. 2 lines 14-33).

Example 2 of Baiker further teaches that a Pd₃₃Zr₆₇ alloy is activated in an air stream at a temperature of 280°C (col. 5 lines 16-19, 53, Tables 1-2, col. 3).

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Baiker does not explicitly teach the claimed third metal M in the alloy composition.

Aoki teaches that zinc based alloy such as ZnNi and ZnPd amorphous alloys absorbs considerably quantity of hydrogen and also acts as catalyst for hydrogenation of carbon monoxide(introduction). Since ZnNi and ZnPd are functionally equivalent hydrogen absorbing material and catalyst material, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have partially substituted Pd with Ni in the ZnPd metal alloy of Baiker and achieve the same expected success of obtaining a hydrogen absorbing material and/or a catalyst material.

In addition, since Baiker in view of Aoki teach a ZrPdNi alloy forming process that is the same as claimed and uses a ZrPdNi alloy material that is substantially the same as claimed, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the ZrO₂ matrix formed by the process of Baiker in view of Aoki to be ultrafine particles as claimed. And the ZrPdNi alloy as taught by Baiker in view of Aoki is capable of functioning as a hydrogen storage alloy as claimed.

Regarding claim 2, since Baiker in view of Aoki teaches a substantially the same alloy composition and is produced by the same heat treatment step and forms the same amorphous alloy with dispersed ultrafine metal and ZrO₂ particles as claimed, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the amorphous hydrogen storage alloy

Art Unit: 1793

material of Baiker in view of Aoki to have substantially the same hydrogen storage amount of 2.5 wt% or more in a weight ratio relative to Pd contained in the hydrogen storage alloy material as claimed.

Regarding claim 3, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have used the hydrogen storage alloy of Baiker in view of Aoki in any suitable applications wherein a hydrogen storage alloy is required, including the claimed hydrogen storage/transporting container.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9 November 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, applicant argues that even if both ZrNi and ZrPd are known amorphous alloys that absorbs hydrogen(i.e. as taught by Aoki), it does not mean that Ni and Pd are interchangeable because it is difficult to predict how an additional element will affect an alloy.

The examiner does not find applicant's argument convincing because Aoki clearly teaches that ZnNi and ZnPd are functionally equivalent hydrogen absorbing material and catalyst material, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have partially substituted Pd in the ZnPd metal alloy of Baiker with Ni and achieve the same expected success of obtaining a hydrogen absorbing material and/or a catalyst material.

Art Unit: 1793

In addition, the examiner has discovered following supporting documents demonstrating using metals such as Zr with Pd and Ni together are known in the hydrogen storage alloy art:

Grasselli et al. US 4,728,580(Grasselli) teach an amorphous hydrogen storage alloy of formula $A_aM_bM'_c$, wherein A can be Pd, M can be Ni, and M' can be Zr(abstract). Claim 24 of Grasselli further teaches a Pd_aNi_bZr_c alloy wherein Zr, Pd and Ni are used together in a hydrogen storage alloy.

Gamo et al. US 5,490,970(Gamo) teach a hydrogen storage alloy having a formula $Zr_cNi_bM_{\nu}$, wherein M can be Pd(col. 5 lines 51-62).

Therefore, applicant's argument is not convincing.

Applicant's argument regarding Baiker alone is moot in view of the withdrawn of rejection based on Baiker.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1793

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LOIS ZHENG whose telephone number is (571)272-1248. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793 Application/Control Number: 10/552,507 Page 7

Art Unit: 1793